

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Book 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/799,088	03/12/2004	Shinya Haraguchi		8524	
530	7590 04/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			KIM, AHSHIK		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIEL	.D, NJ 07090		2876		

DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/799,088	HARAGUCHI, SHINYA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ahshik Kim	2876		

Advisory Action	10/799,088 HARAGUCHI, SHINYA					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ahshik Kim	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s			
• •		•	_			
HE REPLY FILED 24 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the ap				
AMENDMENTS						
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto.	nsideration and/or search (see NO ⁻ w);	ΓE below);				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		mpliant Amandment (DT)	OL 224)			
 I. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. D. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (P1)	OL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile that status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an expl	anation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance	because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
3. ⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	,	Ababile Vim	•			
		Ahshik Kim Primary Examiner AU 2876				

Continuation of 13. Other: The merits of application have been addressed to in the final Office Action. Although not entered, Examiner notes in the most recent amendment that in claim 5, line 6 "a telephone" should have been "the/said portable terminal" in the context of the claim. See also in claim 10, lines 8-9, claim 13, line 10.